UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,986	09/19/2005	Norio Sakai	36856.1370	3417	
	7590 07/16/200 NI IFACTI IR ING COM		EXAMINER		
MURATA MANUFACTURING COMPANY, LTD. C/O KEATING & BENNETT, LLP vu, hung k			ING K		
8180 GREENS SUITE 850	BORO DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA	22102		2811		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/16/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JKEATING@KBIPLAW.COM uspto@kbiplaw.com

			TH
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/549,986	SAKAI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hung Vu	2811	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
·— · · · · -	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und			}
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the applicati 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/o	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	·	by the Francisco	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	<u>-</u>	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	- · ·		d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by th	•	•	-7.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eian priority under 35 U.S.C.	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docum		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	·	Application No.	
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But	priority documents have been		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.	
•			
Attachment(s)	•	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 	

Application/Control Number: 10/549,986

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Embodiment 1 of Figures 1 and 5.

Embodiment 2 of Figure 2.

Embodiment 3 of Figure 3.

Embodiment 4 of Figures 4 and 6.

Embodiment 5 of Figure 7.

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any

Application/Control Number: 10/549,986

Art Unit: 2811

claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung Vu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1666. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 6:00-4:30.

Art Unit: 2811

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynne A. Gurley can be reached on (571) 272 - 1670. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vu

July 8, 2007

Hung Vu

Hung llu

Primary Examiner